APPEAL NO. 033173 FILED JANUARY 22, 2004

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on November 14, 2003. With respect to the single issue before her, the hearing officer determined that the appellant (claimant) is not entitled to supplemental income benefits (SIBs) for the first quarter. In his appeal, the claimant argues that the hearing officer's SIBs determination is against the great weight of the evidence. In its response to the claimant's appeal, the respondent (carrier) urges affirmance.

DECISION

Affirmed.

The record reflects that the claimant sustained a compensable injury on ______. The parties stipulated that the claimant received an impairment rating of 20%; that he did not commute his impairment income benefits; and that the first quarter of SIBs ran from August 21 to November 19, 2003, with a corresponding qualifying period of May 9 to August 7, 2003. Section 408.142(a) and Tex. W.C. Comm'n, 28 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 130.102 (Rule 130.102) set out the statutory and administrative rule requirements for SIBs. At issue in this case is whether the claimant met the good faith job search requirement of Section 408.142(a) by showing that he had a total inability to work during the qualifying period for the first quarter. Rule 130.102(d)(4) provides that an injured employee has made a good faith effort to obtain employment commensurate with the employee's ability to work if the employee has been unable to perform any type of work in any capacity, has provided a narrative report from a doctor which specifically explains how the injury causes a total inability to work, and no other records show that the injured employee is able to return to work.

The hearing officer did not err in determining that the claimant did not satisfy the good faith requirement of Rule 130.102(d)(4) by demonstrating that he had no ability to work in the relevant qualifying period. The hearing officer was not persuaded that the evidence presented by the claimant was sufficient to satisfy the requirements of Rule 130.102(d)(4). The hearing officer determined that there was not a narrative that specifically explained how the claimant's injury caused a total inability to work in the qualifying period. Nothing in our review of the record reveals that the hearing officer's determination in that regard is so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust. As such, no sound basis exists for us to disturb the hearing officer's good faith determination, or the determination that the claimant is not entitled to SIBs for the first quarter, on appeal. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175 (Tex. 1986).

The hearing officer's decision and order are affirmed.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **BITUMINOUS CASUALTY CORPORATION** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

GLENN CAMERON 222 WEST LAS COLINAS BOULEVARD, SUITE 1720 IRVING, TEXAS 75016-7968.

	Elaine M. Chaney Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	
Judy L. S. Barnes Appeals Judge	
Robert W. Potts	
Appeals Judge	